Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/777,328	NEMEH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael A. Marcheschi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory Turocy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes ☐ Ye	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	•	
Claims discussed: 7		
Prior art documents discussed: none	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE examiners amendment to claim 7	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide a wo	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
	•	
11		
(Francisco (ODF Circus)	an Banad Ann Banada Da	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)